

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS



Megha Middha

Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

ADDRESSING THE CHALLENGES FACED BY INDIGENOUS PEOPLES IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

AUTHORED BY - YUVAN SANKAR R

Introduction:

Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) have become a cornerstone of modern economies, protecting the creations and innovations of individuals and corporations. However, amid this framework, indigenous peoples often find themselves at a disadvantage. The issues they face in navigating IPR systems are complex and multifaceted, stemming from historical injustices, cultural differences, and economic disparities. This article delves into the challenges indigenous peoples encounter in the realm of IPR and explores potential solutions to address these issues.

Historical Context:

The history of indigenous peoples is fraught with colonization, exploitation, and marginalization. Many indigenous communities have traditional knowledge, cultural expressions, and genetic resources that hold significant value. However, these assets have often been appropriated without consent or compensation, leading to the erosion of indigenous cultures and economies. Colonizers and corporations have exploited indigenous lands and resources for profit, with little regard for the rights and autonomy of indigenous peoples.

The historical context surrounding the issues faced by indigenous peoples in Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) is essential for understanding the depth of their challenges. Indigenous communities around the world have rich cultural traditions, unique knowledge systems, and ancestral lands that have sustained them for generations. However, their history is marked by colonization, exploitation, and marginalization at the hands of colonial powers and later, modern nation-states¹. Colonization, which began in earnest in the 15th century, brought devastating consequences for indigenous peoples. European powers asserted dominance over indigenous lands, often through forceful conquest, treaties that were frequently broken, or other coercive means. This led to the

¹<https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=oR2sEAAAOBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR11&dq=history+of+indigenous+people&ots=2YZcZXzRYU&sig=6ppKRn7iaZ7VgI0rbP9LeQ-Mt7k>

displacement of indigenous communities from their traditional territories and the imposition of foreign legal systems that often failed to recognize or respect indigenous rights and sovereignty. The exploitation of indigenous resources and knowledge became rampant during the colonial era. Indigenous lands were plundered for valuable natural resources, such as minerals, timber, and agricultural products, often without regard for the rights or well-being of indigenous inhabitants. Additionally, indigenous knowledge of local ecosystems, medicinal plants, and agricultural practices was appropriated by colonial powers without acknowledgment or compensation.

The legacy of colonization continues to shape the challenges faced by indigenous peoples in the realm of IPR today². Despite efforts to decolonize legal systems and promote indigenous rights, many indigenous communities still grapple with systemic inequalities and injustices. Their traditional knowledge and cultural expressions are often undervalued or commodified by external actors, while indigenous peoples themselves may lack the resources or legal mechanisms to protect their intellectual and cultural heritage.

Furthermore, the impacts of colonialism have contributed to economic disparities and social marginalization within indigenous communities, exacerbating their vulnerability to exploitation. Limited access to education, healthcare, and economic opportunities further compound the challenges faced by indigenous peoples in asserting their rights within IPR frameworks.

In recent decades, there has been growing recognition of the need to address the historical injustices faced by indigenous peoples and to promote their rights and autonomy. International instruments such as the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) and various regional agreements have affirmed the rights of indigenous peoples to self-determination, cultural preservation, and equitable participation in decision-making processes³.

However, much work remains to be done to address the systemic inequalities and structural barriers that hinder indigenous peoples' ability to protect and benefit from their intellectual and cultural heritage. Efforts to reform IPR laws and policies, promote indigenous-led initiatives, and foster collaboration between indigenous communities and external stakeholders are crucial steps towards achieving greater justice and equity for indigenous peoples in the realm of intellectual

² <https://search.informit.org/doi/abs/10.3316/INFORMIT.341995259289589>

³ <https://carijournals.org/journals/index.php/JMLP/article/view/1554>

property rights.

Laws for indigenous people:

International law has recognized the rights of indigenous peoples through various instruments and conventions. Some of the key legal frameworks include:

1. United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP):

Adopted by the UN General Assembly in 2007, UNDRIP sets out the collective and individual rights of indigenous peoples, including their rights to self-determination, lands, territories, and resources⁴. It also addresses issues such as culture, language, education, and participation in decision-making processes. While UNDRIP is not legally binding, it is considered a significant standard for the protection of indigenous rights.

2. International Labour Organization (ILO) Convention No. 169:

This convention, adopted in 1989, is the only legally binding international instrument specifically dedicated to indigenous peoples. It recognizes the rights of indigenous and tribal peoples to their lands, territories, and resources, as well as their right to participate in decision-making processes that affect them. ILO Convention No. 169 also emphasizes the importance of respecting indigenous cultures, traditions, and customs⁵.

3. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR):

While not explicitly focused on indigenous peoples, ICCPR recognizes the rights of all individuals to self-determination and participation in public affairs. These rights are relevant to indigenous peoples' struggles for autonomy and representation within national and international decision-making processes⁶.

4. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR):

Similar to ICCPR, ICESCR affirms the rights of all individuals to participate in cultural life and enjoy the benefits of scientific progress⁷. These provisions are significant for indigenous peoples, whose cultural practices and traditional knowledge systems are often threatened by development projects and intellectual property issues.

5. Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD):

CBD recognizes the importance of traditional knowledge, innovations, and practices of indigenous and local communities in the conservation and sustainable use of biological

⁴ <http://www.converge.org.nz/pma/DRIPGA.pdf>

⁵ <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13642987.2019.1677612>

⁶ http://legal.un.org/avl/pdf/ha/iccpr/iccpr_e.pdf

⁷ https://legal.un.org/avl/pdf/ha/icescr/icescr_e.pdf

diversity⁸. It calls for the equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources and traditional knowledge, emphasizing the need to respect indigenous rights and consent.

These international legal frameworks provide a foundation for the protection and promotion of indigenous peoples' rights, including their rights to land, culture, self-determination, and participation in decision-making processes. However, despite these legal instruments, indigenous peoples continue to face challenges related to land dispossession, cultural erosion, discrimination, and lack of access to justice. Efforts to implement and enforce these international laws are crucial to addressing these on-going issues and advancing the rights and well-being of indigenous peoples worldwide.

At the national level, many countries have enacted laws and policies aimed at recognizing and protecting the rights of indigenous peoples. These laws may vary significantly from one country to another based on historical context, legal traditions, and the specific circumstances of indigenous communities. Here are some common types of laws and mechanisms found at the national level:

1. Constitutional Recognition:

Some countries have provisions in their constitutions that recognize the rights of indigenous peoples. These provisions may include guarantees of land rights, cultural autonomy, and political representation. For example, countries like Bolivia, Ecuador, and Mexico have constitutional provisions that explicitly recognize the rights of indigenous peoples.

2. Land Rights Legislation:

Land rights are often a central issue for indigenous peoples, many of whom have historically been displaced from their traditional territories. National laws may address indigenous land rights through mechanisms such as land titling, demarcation of indigenous territories, and recognition of communal land ownership. These laws aim to protect indigenous lands from encroachment, exploitation, and expropriation.

3. Cultural and Linguistic Protection:

National laws may also provide protections for indigenous cultures, languages, and traditional knowledge. These protections may include measures to preserve and promote

⁸ <https://scholar.sun.ac.za/handle/10019.1/112371>

indigenous languages, support for cultural heritage preservation, and recognition of indigenous cultural practices and customs.

4. Consultation and Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC):

Many countries have adopted laws or policies requiring consultation with indigenous peoples before undertaking development projects or other activities that may affect their lands, resources, or rights. FPIC principles emphasize the importance of obtaining indigenous communities consent on matters that impact them, ensuring their meaningful participation in decision-making processes⁹.

5. Education and Health Services:

Laws may mandate the provision of culturally appropriate education and healthcare services for indigenous communities. These laws aim to address disparities in access to essential services and promote the well-being of indigenous peoples.

6. Legal Recognition of Indigenous Institutions:

Some countries recognize traditional indigenous governance structures and institutions within their legal systems. This recognition may entail granting indigenous authorities' jurisdiction over certain matters within their communities and recognizing their role in decision-making processes.

7. Affirmative Action and Economic Development:

National laws may include provisions aimed at promoting economic development and improving living conditions in indigenous communities¹⁰. These provisions may include affirmative action measures, economic development programs, and support for indigenous entrepreneurship and livelihoods.

It's important to note that the effectiveness of these laws and policies in protecting indigenous rights can vary widely depending on factors such as implementation, enforcement, and the political will of governments. Additionally, indigenous peoples often face on-going challenges and struggles for the full realization of their rights, including issues related to land rights, environmental conservation, cultural preservation, and social and economic development. Therefore, on-going advocacy, collaboration, and engagement with indigenous communities are essential to address these challenges and advance indigenous rights at the national level.

⁹ <https://www.ihrb.org/explainers/what-is-free-prior-and-informed-consent-fpic>

¹⁰ <https://www.clasp.org/press-room/press-releases/affirmative-action-decision-is-a-setback-for-economic-mobility-and-racial-equity/>

Laws in India protecting indigenous people:

In India, various laws and constitutional provisions aim to protect the rights and interests of indigenous or tribal communities¹¹. The Constitution of India recognizes the special status of Scheduled Tribes (STs) and provides for their protection and welfare. Some key provisions and laws related to indigenous people in India include:

1. Scheduled Tribes (STs):

The Constitution of India categorizes certain communities as Scheduled Tribes (STs) and provides for their protection through affirmative action. Article 366(25) defines Scheduled Tribes, and they are listed in the Schedule Tribe Order.

2. Fifth Schedule of the Constitution:

The Fifth Schedule deals with the administration and control of Scheduled Areas and Scheduled Tribes in various states. It empowers the Governor of a state with Scheduled Areas to declare certain areas as Scheduled Areas, where special provisions for the welfare of STs are applicable.

3. Sixth Schedule of the Constitution:

The Sixth Schedule provides for the administration of tribal areas in Assam, Meghalaya, Tripura, and Mizoram. It grants autonomy to tribal regions by establishing District Councils and Regional Councils with special legislative powers.

4. Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 (PESA):

This Act extends the provisions of the Panchayati Raj system to the Scheduled Areas and provides for self-governance through Gram Sabhas. It aims to safeguard and preserve the traditions and customs of the tribes.

5. Forest Rights Act, 2006:

The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, commonly known as the Forest Rights Act (FRA), recognizes the rights of forest-dwelling communities, including Scheduled Tribes, over their traditional forest lands.

6. National Commission for Scheduled Tribes (NCST) Act, 2003:

This legislation establishes the National Commission for Scheduled Tribes, which works to safeguard the rights and interests of Scheduled Tribes and ensures that laws and regulations are properly implemented.

¹¹ <http://gnanaganga.inflibnet.ac.in:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/1248>

7. Protection of Civil Rights Act, 1955, and the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989:

These acts provide legal safeguards against discrimination, atrocities, and violence against Scheduled Tribes.

Challenges Faced by Indigenous Peoples in IPR:

Challenges faced by indigenous peoples in Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) stem from a complex intersection of historical injustices, cultural differences, and economic disparities. These challenges include¹²:

1. Lack of Recognition and Protection:

Indigenous knowledge, cultural expressions, and genetic resources are often not formally recognized or protected within mainstream intellectual property frameworks. Traditional knowledge, which has been passed down through generations, is frequently overlooked or dismissed by existing legal systems. This lack of recognition leaves indigenous communities vulnerable to exploitation and undermines their ability to control and benefit from their intellectual and cultural heritage.

2. Exploitation and Appropriation:

Indigenous knowledge and resources are frequently exploited by external entities for commercial gain without adequate consent or compensation. Biopiracy, where corporations patent indigenous genetic resources or traditional medicines without permission, is a significant issue. This exploitation not only deprives indigenous peoples of potential economic benefits but also perpetuates colonial patterns of exploitation and erodes cultural integrity.

3. Inadequate Legal Protection:

Existing intellectual property laws may not adequately safeguard the rights of indigenous peoples. Legal mechanisms often prioritize individual or corporate ownership, neglecting communal or collective rights that are integral to indigenous cultures. As a result, indigenous communities may lack legal recourse to protect their intellectual and cultural property from misappropriation and infringement.

4. Cultural Misappropriation:

Indigenous cultural expressions, such as art, music, and symbols, are often appropriated and commercialized without permission or proper attribution. This cultural

¹² <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jwip.12266>

misappropriation not only undermines the cultural significance of these expressions but also perpetuates stereotypes and diminishes the autonomy of indigenous communities.

5. Lack of Access to Resources and Information:

Indigenous peoples may face barriers in accessing resources and information to navigate IPR systems effectively. Limited education, language barriers, and geographic isolation can hinder their ability to assert their rights and protect their intellectual and cultural property. This lack of access exacerbates existing inequalities and further marginalizes indigenous communities in the realm of intellectual property rights.

Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that recognizes the unique needs and rights of indigenous peoples, promotes meaningful collaboration and consultation, and fosters inclusive and equitable legal frameworks that respect indigenous knowledge and cultural heritage.

Addressing the Issues:

1. Recognition and Respect:

Efforts should be made to recognize and respect the rights of indigenous peoples within IPR frameworks. This includes acknowledging the collective nature of indigenous knowledge and ensuring that their cultural expressions are protected from exploitation.

2. Inclusive Legal Frameworks:

IPR laws and policies should be reformed to better accommodate the rights and interests of indigenous peoples. This may involve the creation of sui generis systems tailored to indigenous needs, which recognize communal ownership and prioritize community consent and benefit-sharing.

3. Capacity Building and Empowerment:

Initiatives aimed at building the capacity of indigenous communities to engage with IPR systems are essential. This includes providing education and training on IPR rights, facilitating access to legal resources, and supporting indigenous-led initiatives to protect and promote their intellectual and cultural heritage¹³.

4. Collaboration and Consent:

Meaningful collaboration with indigenous communities is crucial in addressing IPR issues. Companies and researchers must obtain free, prior, and informed consent from indigenous peoples before engaging with their knowledge or resources. This involves

¹³ https://riojournal.com/lib/ajax_srv/article_elements_srv.php?action=download_pdf&item_id=15111

establishing mutually beneficial partnerships based on respect, reciprocity, and equity.

5. International Solidarity and Advocacy:

The international community plays a vital role in advocating for the rights of indigenous peoples in IPR. Indigenous organizations, civil society groups, and governments can work together to raise awareness, share best practices, and advocate for policy reforms that uphold indigenous rights and promote cultural diversity¹⁴.

Conclusion:

The challenges faced by indigenous peoples in navigating IPR systems are deeply rooted in historical injustices and systemic inequalities. Addressing these issues requires a concerted effort to recognize and respect the rights of indigenous communities, reform legal frameworks, build capacity, foster collaboration, and promote international solidarity. By empowering indigenous peoples to protect and benefit from their intellectual and cultural heritage, we can work towards a more equitable and inclusive approach to intellectual property rights. Both international and national laws play critical roles in recognizing and protecting the rights of indigenous peoples. At the international level, legal instruments such as the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP), International Labour Organization (ILO) Convention No. 169, and various human rights treaties provide important frameworks for safeguarding indigenous rights to land, culture, self-determination, and participation in decision-making processes. These instruments set standards for governments and provide avenues for advocacy and accountability on the global stage. At the national level, laws and policies tailored to the specific contexts of indigenous communities are essential for translating international principles into concrete protections and ensuring their effective implementation. National laws may address issues such as land rights, cultural preservation, consultation and consent, education, healthcare, and economic development. However, the effectiveness of these laws depends on factors such as implementation, enforcement, and the genuine engagement of indigenous peoples in decision-making processes. Despite progress in legal recognition and protection, indigenous peoples continue to face significant challenges, including land dispossession, cultural erosion, discrimination, and lack of access to justice. Addressing these challenges requires ongoing efforts to strengthen legal frameworks, promote dialogue and collaboration between governments and indigenous communities, and address the root causes of marginalization and inequality.

¹⁴ <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00335630.2024.2315043>

In conclusion, protecting indigenous rights is not only a matter of legal obligation but also a moral imperative rooted in principles of justice, equality, and respect for human dignity. By upholding and implementing international and national laws that recognize and protect indigenous rights, governments can contribute to building more inclusive and equitable societies where indigenous peoples can thrive and preserve their cultures and identities for generations to come.

